



soro

THE SORO FIELD JOURNAL

BILLING 101 · 4 MIN READ

Upcoding vs. unbundling: how to tell them apart

Two of the most common billing errors look similar on a statement but fail for different reasons. Knowing which one you are looking at determines how you dispute it.

Prepared by

The Soro Team

January 2026

Illustrative and educational. Not legal advice. Sample figures are not tied to a named client.

Two of the most common billing errors look similar on a statement but fail for different reasons. Knowing which one you are looking at determines how you dispute it.

Most billing errors on a hospital or physician claim fall into a handful of patterns. Two of the most common are upcoding and unbundling. They can produce a similar result, which is a charge higher than the care supports, but they break different rules and call for different evidence when you dispute them.

Upcoding: a code that outruns the record

Upcoding is billing a higher-paying code than the service actually provided or documented. A common example is an evaluation and management visit billed at a level 5 of complexity when the clinical note supports a level 3. The procedure code claims more time, more complexity, or more resources than the medical record shows. Upcoding is caught by comparing the billed code against the documentation. If the note does not support the level billed, the code is wrong.

Unbundling: pieces billed as if they were separate

Unbundling is billing separately for services that should be reported under a single comprehensive code. When a more comprehensive code already includes its component parts, billing the parts on top of it inflates the total. A metabolic panel billed as fourteen individual assays instead of the single panel code is a classic example. Unbundling is caught by coding rules rather than by the clinical note.

The rulebook: NCCI edits

The Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services created the National Correct Coding Initiative, or NCCI, to prevent payment for services that should not be reported together. Its procedure-to-procedure edits define pairs of codes that should not be paid together for the same patient on the same date of service. When both are billed, one code pays and the other is denied unless a clinically appropriate modifier applies and is properly reported. NCCI gives an auditor an objective standard to point to when a claim splits a service that should have been billed as one.

How to tell them apart in practice

- If the question is whether the visit was really that complex, you are looking at possible upcoding, and the answer is in the medical record.
- If the question is whether two or more codes should have been a single code, you are looking at possible unbundling, and the answer is in the coding rules and NCCI edits.
- Both require the itemized bill. The explanation of benefits alone rarely shows enough detail to catch either one.

Why the distinction matters

A dispute succeeds when it names the specific error and cites the standard it violates. For upcoding, that means the documentation does not support the billed level. For unbundling, that means the codes should have been reported together under the comprehensive code, with the NCCI edit as support. Naming the right error, with the right evidence, is what turns a questioned charge into a corrected claim.

References

1. CMS National Correct Coding Initiative (NCCI) Policy Manual and procedure-to-procedure edits.
2. American Medical Association, Current Procedural Terminology (CPT) code set.
3. CMS Medicare NCCI FAQ Library.